



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/343,805	06/30/1999	RONNIE I. CHAIKEN	777.285US1	8333

27488 7590 11/15/2002

MERCHANT & GOULD  
P.O. BOX 2903  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903

EXAMINER

STEELMAN, MARY J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2122

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/343,805

Applicant(s)

CHAIKEN ET AL.

Examiner

Mary J. Steelman

Art Unit

2122

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mary J. Steelman.(3) Damon Rieth, Attorney of Record.(2) Tuan Dam.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14 November 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 19-30.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: This is an After Final interview to clarify a 101 rejection. The attorney was directed to MPEP 2106 IV B 1 (a). The claim for data structures shows no functional interrelationship or act performed or realized. It was also pointed out that claim sets 19 & 25, as filed, are distinct claims from the rest of the claimed invention.


(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**TUAN Q. DAM**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 11-14-02  
Examiner's signature, if required